





























































































October 22, 2018

Rajinder Sahota
Assistant Division Chief -- Industrial Strategies Division
California Air Resources Board
1001 | Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Sahota,

As a group of concerned businesses and consumers in California, we are united in strongly opposing specific provisions of the proposed amendments to the regulation for administering cap-and-trade for the period of 2021 to 2030. Specifically, we believe the proposed price ceiling would fail entirely at its statutory purpose of controlling costs on households, businesses, and the overall economy.

Assembly Bill 398 (Garcia, 2017) directs the California Air Resources Board (CARB) to include a price ceiling in the proposed regulation to control the prices of allowances. The legislation specifically directs CARB, when setting the price ceiling, to "avoid adverse impacts on resident households, businesses, and the state's economy." This important and straightforward direction reflects a widespread and bipartisan recognition that the costs of climate regulations must be managed in order for the regulations to be successful and avoid driving California consumers, workers, and businesses into insolvency.

Unfortunately, CARB is proposing to set a price ceiling nearly twice as high as experts recommend. This threatens to impose unaffordable, runaway costs on all Californians, and violates the legislative directions to "avoid adverse impacts." This includes adding up to \$1.08 per gallon to the cost of gasoline, as well as potential cost increases on energy, food, and other necessities. These cost increases will dramatically impact California consumers, workers, and businesses who already contend with some of the highest costs of living in our nation.

For these reasons, we strongly oppose the lack of proper price-containment provisions in the proposed amendments. We ask CARB to consider an approach to cost-containment that is more aligned with the Legislature's direction in AB 398.

Thank you for your consideration.

Greater Bakersfield Chamber of Commerce California Farm Bureau Federation Central Valley Latino Mayors and Elected Officials Coalition California Fresh Fruit Association Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce Latino Business Association Long Beach Area Chamber of Commerce Valley Industry & Commerce Association California Fuels & Convenience Alliance Nisei Farmers League Climate Change Policy Coalition The Chamber – Greater Coachella Valley Regional Chamber of Commerce San Gabriel Valley Agricultural Council of California Regional Hispanic Chamber of Commerce San Gabriel Valley Economic Partnership Stanislaus County Farm Bureau Tulare County Farm Bureau California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce **Orange County Business Council** Orange County Hispanic Chamber of Commerce

Camarillo Chamber of Commerce

American Pistachio Growers California Citrus Mutual Western Plant Health Association North Orange County Chamber California Rice California Tomato Growers Association Fontana Chamber of Commerce California Dairies Inc. Central Valley Business Federation Fresno County Farm Bureau **FARWEST Equipment Dealers Association** Inland Empire Economic Partnership Escondido Chamber of Commerce Harbor Association of Industry & Commerce South Bay Association of Chambers of Commerce Garden Grove Chamber of Commerce Redondo Beach Chamber of Commerce & Visitor Center Hispanic 100 Fresno Chamber of Commerce African-American Farmers of California Southwest California Legislative Council California Cut Flower Commission Madera County Farm Bureau

Mary D. Nichols. Chair Barbara Riordan

Sandra Berg. Vice Chair Ron Roberts

John R. Balmes, MD Phil Serna

Hector De La Torre Alexander Sherriffs, MD

John Eisenhut Daniel Sperling

Dean Florez Diane Takvorian

Eduardo Garcia Virgil Welch

John Gioia Richard Corey

Senator Ricardo Lara Edie Chang

Judy Mitchell Steve Cliff